

## Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

All material from Chapter 6 and 8 of Linear Algebra by Hoffman and Kunze.

**Definition:** If  $T$  is a linear operator on  $V$  over the field  $F$ , we say that  $c \in F$  is an **eigenvalue** of  $T$  if there is some  $\alpha \in V$  such that  $\alpha \neq \vec{0}$  and  $T(\alpha) = c\alpha$ . Such a vector  $\alpha$  is called an **eigenvector**. Note that  $c$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  if and only if the nullity of  $cI - T$  is greater than 0.

**Definition:** If  $T$  is a linear operator on  $V$  we say that  $T$  is **diagonalizable** if  $V$  has a basis of eigenvectors of  $T$ .

**Definition:** If  $T$  is a linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space then the **characteristic polynomial** of  $T$ ,  $p_T$ , is given by  $p_T(x) = \det(xI - T)$ .

**Lemma:** The roots of the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  coincide with the eigenvalues of  $T$  provided they lie in the field of the vector space.

**Proof:** If  $t$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  then  $tI - T$  is not invertible, so  $\det(tI - T) = 0$ . If  $\det(tI - T) = 0$  then  $tI - T$  is not one-to-one, so there is some  $\tau \neq \vec{0}$  so that  $(tI - T)(\tau) = \vec{0}$ . Therefore  $\tau$  is an eigenvector and  $t$  is its eigenvalue.

**Example:** Put  $V = R^2$  as a vector space over  $R$ . Let  $T(x, y) = (-y, x)$ . The characteristic polynomial  $p_T$  is given by  $p_T(x) = x^2 + 1$  which has no real roots, but does have roots  $\pm i$ . If we regard  $T$  as a linear operator on  $C^2$ , then it does have two distinct characteristic values and is diagonalizable.

**Theorem:** Suppose that  $T$  is a linear operator on  $V$  and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  with eigenvectors  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_N$  respectively. Then  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_N\}$  is a linearly independent set. If  $\dim(V) = N$  then  $T$  is diagonalizable.

**Proof:** We proceed by induction. The theorem is clearly true when  $N = 1$ . Suppose it is true for  $N = k$ . Let us prove it is true for  $N = k + 1$ . Suppose that

$$\vec{0} = c_1\tau_1 + \dots + c_{k+1}\tau_{k+1}.$$

Let  $S = T - t_{k+1}I$ .

$$\vec{0} = S(\vec{0}) = c_1(t_1 - t_{k+1})\tau_1 + \dots + c_k(t_k - t_{k+1})\tau_k.$$

Since (by the induction hypothesis)  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k\}$  are linearly independent we have  $c_j(t_j - t_{k+1}) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Since the eigenvalues  $t_n$  are all different,  $c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0$ , leaving us with

$$\vec{0} = c_{k+1}\tau_{k+1}.$$

Since  $\tau_{k+1} \neq \vec{0}$  we have  $c_{k+1} = 0$ .

**Example:** Let  $V$  be the set of infinitely differentiable complex valued functions on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Then the functions  $\exp(ct)$ , where  $c$  is any complex number, are linearly independent, since they are all eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues for the differentiation operator on  $V$ .

**Theorem:** Suppose that  $T$  is a normal operator on the inner product space  $V$ . Then  $\tau$  is an eigenvector for  $T$  with eigenvalue  $t$  if and only if  $\tau$  is an eigenvector for  $T^*$  with eigenvalue  $\bar{t}$ .

**Proof:** Observe that if  $T$  is normal and  $c$  is a scalar then  $T + cI$  is normal. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= ((T - tI)(\tau) | (T - tI)(\tau)) \\ &= (\tau | (T - tI)^* \circ (T - tI)(\tau)) \\ &= (\tau | (T - tI) \circ (T - tI)^*(\tau)) \\ &= ((T - tI)^*(\tau) | (T - tI)^*(\tau)) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$T^*(\tau) - \bar{t}\tau = \vec{0}.$$

Since  $T^{**} = T$ , we get the same result applying the argument to  $T^*$ .

**Theorem:** If  $T$  is a normal operator with  $T(\tau_j) = t_j\tau_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$  then either  $t_1 = t_2$  or  $(\tau_1|\tau_2) = 0$ .

**Proof:**

$$t_1(\tau_1|\tau_2) = (t_1\tau_1|\tau_2) = (T(\tau_1)|\tau_2) = (\tau_1|T^*(\tau_2)) = (\tau_1|\overline{t_2}\tau_2) = t_2(\tau_1|\tau_2)$$

$$\text{so } (t_1 - t_2)(\tau_1|\tau_2) = 0.$$

**Definition:** Suppose that  $T$  is a linear operator on a vector space  $V$  over the field  $F$ . For each  $c \in F$  let  $W_c = N(cI - T)$ . If  $W_c \neq \{\vec{0}\}$  then  $W_c$  is called the **eigenspace of  $T$  for the eigenvalue  $c$** .

The preceding theorem can be restated as saying that the eigenspaces of a normal operator are mutually orthogonal.

**Lemma:** If  $T$  is a self-adjoint linear operator then all of its eigenvalues are real numbers. (Note that we are not claiming that  $T$  has any eigenvalues.)

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\tau \neq 0$  and  $T(\tau) = t\tau$ . Then  $(\tau|\tau) > 0$  and

$$t(\tau|\tau) = (t\tau|\tau) = (T(\tau)|\tau) = (\tau|T(\tau)) = (\tau|t\tau) = \overline{t}(\tau|\tau).$$

Therefore  $t = \overline{t}$ .

**Theorem:** Suppose that either  $T$  is a normal operator on a complex inner product space  $V$  or  $T$  is self adjoint operator on a general inner product space  $V$ . If  $V$  is finite dimensional then  $T$  is diagonalizable.

**Proof:** We prove this by induction on the dimension of the space. If the dimension of the space is 1 there is nothing to prove, as there is a single basis vector  $\tau$  and we must have  $T(\tau) = t\tau$  for some  $t$ . Hence this basis vector is an eigenvector.

Suppose that the theorem is true in dimension  $k$ . We will now prove it is true in dimension  $k + 1$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $T$  is a polynomial of degree  $k + 1$  and, therefore, has at least one root. This root may be a complex number. However, it is an eigenvalue of  $T$ , so if  $T$  is self-adjoint it will be a real number. Therefore,  $T$  has at least one eigenvalue. Let  $W_t$  be the eigenspace associated with  $T$ . We then know that  $V = W_t \oplus W_t^\perp$ . We know that if  $\alpha \in W_t$  then  $T(\alpha) \in W_t$ . However, if  $\beta \in W_t^\perp$  then  $T(\beta) \in W_t^\perp$  and  $T^*(\beta) \in W_t^\perp$ . since for all  $\alpha \in W$  and  $\beta \in W_t^\perp$ :

$$(T(\beta)|\alpha) = (\beta|T^*(\alpha)) = (\beta|\overline{t}\alpha) = t(\alpha|\beta) = 0.$$

and

$$(T^*(\beta)|\alpha) = (\beta|T(\alpha)) = (\beta|t\alpha) = \overline{t}(\alpha|\beta) = 0.$$

Therefore, we may define a new linear operator on  $W_t^\perp$ , call it  $S$ , by

$$S(\beta) = T(\beta).$$

Note that  $S^*(\beta) = T^*(\beta)$  since for  $\beta$  and  $\gamma \in W_t^\perp$

$$(\beta|S^*(\gamma)) = (S(\beta)|\gamma) = (T(\beta)|\gamma) = (\beta|T^*(\gamma))$$

If  $T$  is self-adjoint then so is  $S$ , since for  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in  $W_t^\perp$

$$(S(\beta)|\gamma) = (T(\beta)|\gamma) = (\beta|T(\gamma)) = (\beta|S(\gamma)),$$

while if  $T$  is normal,

$$S(S^*(\beta)) = S(T^*(\beta)) = T(T^*(\beta)) = T^*(T(\beta)) = T^*(S(\beta)) = S^*(S(\beta))$$

for all  $\beta \in W_t^\perp$ .

By the induction hypothesis there is a basis of eigenvectors of  $S$  for  $W_t^\perp$  and every eigenvector of  $S$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  with the same eigenvalue:

$$s\sigma = S(\sigma) = T(\sigma)$$

so  $W_t^\perp$  is spanned by eigenvectors of  $T$ .

**Corollary:** Under the hypotheses of the previous theorem, if  $t_1, \dots, t_p$  are the eigenvalues of  $T$  and  $W_1, \dots, W_p$  are the corresponding eigenspaces then

$$V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_p.$$

**Definition:** If  $V$  is an inner product space and  $H \subset V$  is a Hilbert space, the linear transformation  $P$  that sends it element in  $V$  to its best linear approximation in  $H$  is called the **orthogonal projection of  $V$  onto  $H$** .

**Definition:** If  $V$  is an inner product space and there are projections  $P_j$  so that  $I = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_p$ , then these projections are called a **resolution of the identity**.

**Theorem:** If  $V$  is an inner product space with subspaces  $W_i$  satisfying

- $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_p$ ;
- For all  $j \neq k$ ,  $\beta_j \in W_j$  and  $\beta_k \in W_k$  implies  $(\beta_j | \beta_k) = 0$ ,

Then there exist orthogonal projections  $P_j$  onto  $W_j$  so that for all  $\alpha$  and  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_p \\ P_i \circ P_j(\alpha) &= \vec{0}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** There is really nothing to prove. The hypotheses say that for each  $\alpha \in V$  there are unique, mutually orthogonal vectors  $\alpha_i \in W_i$  so that  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p$ . We simply define  $P_j(\alpha) = \alpha_j$ .

To see that  $P_i \circ P_j(\alpha) = \vec{0}$  when  $i \neq j$ , observe that if  $i \neq j$  and  $\alpha \in W_j$  then  $P_i(\alpha_j) = 0$ . Since  $P_j(\alpha) \in W_j$  we have  $P_i(P_j(\alpha)) = \vec{0}$ .

**The Spectral Theorem:** Suppose that one of the following holds:

- $T$  is a normal operator on a finite dimensional complex inner product space  $V$ ;
- $T$  is a self-adjoint operator on a finite dimensional inner product space  $V$ .

Then the projections of  $V$  onto the eigenspaces of  $T$  comprise a resolution of the identity. Furthermore, if we let  $P_j$  denote the projection of  $T$  onto the eigenspace of  $t_j$  then for every polynomial  $p$

$$p(T) = \sum_j p(t_j)P_j$$

**Proof:** What is new here is the last assertion. This will follow from the observation that for each  $\alpha \in V$  we have

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p$$

where  $\alpha_j$  is in the eigenspace of  $t_j$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I(\alpha) &= \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p, \\ T(\alpha) &= t_1\alpha_1 + \dots + t_p\alpha_p, \\ T(T(\alpha)) &= T(t_1\alpha_1 + \dots + t_p\alpha_p) = t_1^2\alpha_1 + \dots + t_p^2\alpha_p, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. The result now follows from the definition of  $p(T)$ .

**Definition:** Under the hypotheses of the Spectral Theorem, if  $f$  is a function whose domain contains the eigenvalues of  $T$  and whose range is in the scalar field of the domain of  $T$  then we define  $f(T)$  by

$$f(T) = f(t_1)P_1 + \dots + f(t_p)P_p.$$

If  $f$  has a power series representation then this the only definition that makes sense, given that a power series is a limit of polynomials. In that case we require that the eigenvalues of  $T$  lie inside the circle of convergence of the power series.